arXiv:0905.0080v1 [math.NT] 1 May 2009

On reductions of families of crystalline Galois representations
Part II.

Gerasimos Dousmanis
Münster Universität, SFB 478 Geometrische Strukturen in der
Mathematik, Hittorfstraße 27, 48149 Münster Deutschland
Email: makis.dousmanis@math.uni-muenster.de

May 1, 2009

Abstract

We compute the semisimplified modulo p reductions of the families of crystalline representations of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}$ constructed in [3].

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Wach modules of restricted representations 3

2 Computing reductions **4**

1 Introduction

Let p be a fixed prime number, $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p^f}$ the finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree f, and E a finite large enough extension of K with maximal ideal m_E and residue field k_E. In [3] we constructed families of Wach modules, and compute the semisimplified modulo p reductions of some of the corresponding crystalline representations $V_{\vec{w},\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{i}}$, where $\vec{\alpha} \in m_E^f$, $\vec{w}$ is a paremeter indicating the labeled Hodge-Tate weights and $\vec{i}$ a vector specifying the family in question. The method used was to first show that $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{i}} \simeq \bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{\vec{i}}$ and then compute the reduction $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{\vec{i}}$. This was done in complete generality when the representation $V_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{\vec{i}}$ was a principal series, and subject to some divisibility condition involving labeled Hodge-Tate weights which ensured that the modulo p reduction was reducible, when it was supercuspidal. Here, we remove these conditions and get explicit formulas in all cases. This is achieved be displaying irreducible constituents of the restrictions of the characteristic zero representations to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}$ and using Frobenius reciprocity.

Acknowledgement 1 *I'm deeply indebted to the authors of arXiv:0904.4658 and arXiv:0807.1078 for their interest in "sharing" my work. That expediated the posting of this unfinished notice. I*

hope they like it and decide to "share" it too. I also hope that they will accept my apologies for the many typos it surely contains.

We briefly recall some of the tools involved. For a detailed discussion and the corresponding references see [3].

Let $K_n = K(\mu_{p^n})$ where μ_{p^n} is a primitive p^n-th root of unity inside $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $K_\infty = \cup_{n\geq 1} K_n$. Let $\chi : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the cyclotomic character. We denote $H_K = \ker\chi$ =Gal$(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K_\infty)$ and $\Gamma_K = G_K/H_K$ =Gal(K_∞/K). Let $\mathbb{A}_K$ be the ring defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_K = \{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \pi^n : \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ and} \lim_{n\to -\infty} \alpha_n = 0\},$$

where π is a formal variable. $\mathbb{A}_K$ is equipped with a Frobenius endomorphism φ which extends the absolute Frobenius of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and is such that $\varphi(\pi_K) = (1+\pi)^p - 1$. It is also equipped with a commuting with the Frobenius Γ_K-action which is $\mathcal{O}_K$-linear and is such that $\gamma(\pi_K) = (1+\pi)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. The ring $\mathbb{A}_K$ is local with maximal ideal (p), residue field $\mathbb{E}_K = k_K((\pi))$, where k_K is the residue field of K, and fraction field $\mathbb{B}_K = \mathbb{A}_K[\frac{1}{p}]$. A (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathbb{A}_K$ (respectively $\mathbb{B}_K$) is an $\mathbb{A}_K$-module of finite type (respectively finite dimensional $\mathbb{B}_K$-vector space) with continuous commuting semilinear actions of φ and Γ_K. A (φ, Γ)-module M over $\mathbb{A}_K$ is called étale if $\varphi^*(M) = M$, where $\varphi^*(M)$ is the $\mathbb{A}_K$-module generated by the set $\varphi(M)$.

A (φ, Γ)-module M over $\mathbb{B}_K$ is called étale if it contains an $\mathbb{A}_K$-lattice which is étale over $\mathbb{A}_K$. Fontaine proved that there is an equivalence of categories between p-adic (respectively $\mathbb{Z}_p$-adic) representations of G_K and étale (φ, Γ)-modules over $\mathbb{B}_K$ (respectively $\mathbb{A}_K$) given by the functor $V \mapsto D(V) = (\mathbb{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$ (respectively $T \mapsto D(T) = (\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T)^{H_K}$), where $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ are period rings constructed by Fontaine with the properties that $\mathbb{A}_K = \mathbb{A}^{H_K}$ and $\mathbb{B}_K = \mathbb{B}^{H_K}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_K^+ = \mathcal{O}_K[[\pi]] \subset \mathbb{A}_K$ and $\mathbb{B}_K^+ = \mathbb{A}_K^+[\frac{1}{p}] \subset \mathbb{B}_K$. When studying the category of E (respectively $\mathcal{O}_E$)-linear representations of G_K we replace $\mathbb{B}_K$ by $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_K$ (respectively $\mathbb{A}_K$ by $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K$). In this case the φ and Γ_K-actions are E (respectively $\mathcal{O}_E$)-linear. A natural question is to determine the types of étale (φ, Γ_K)-modules which correspond to crystalline representations of G_K via Fontaine's functor. This is the content of the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Berger) *Let V be an E-linear representation of G_K. The representation V is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weights in $[-k, 0]$, for some non negative integer k, if and only if there exists a unique $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_K^+$-module $N(V)$ contained in $D(V)$ such that:*
1. $N(V)$ is free of rank $d = \dim_E(V)$ over $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_K^+$;
2. The Γ_K-action preserves $N(V)$ and is trivial on $N(V)/\pi N(V)$;
3. $\varphi(N(V)) \subset N(V)$ and $N(V)/\varphi^*(N(V))$ is killed by q^k, where $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$. The module $N(V)$ is endowed with the filtration $Fil^j(N(V)) = \{x \in N(V) : \varphi(x) \in q^j N(V)\}$ for $j \geq 0$ and $N(V)/\pi N(V)$ is endowed with the induced filtration. Then

$$D_{cris}(V) \simeq (N(V)/\pi N(V))$$

as filtered φ-modules over $E^{|\tau|}$. Moreover, if T is a G_K-stable, $\mathcal{O}_E$-lattice in V, then $N(T) = D(T) \cap N(V)$ is a $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K$-lattice in $N(V)$ and the functor $T \mapsto N(T)$ gives a bijection between the G_K-stable, $\mathcal{O}_E$-lattices in V and the $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K$-lattices in $N(V)$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $N(T)$ is free of rank $d = \dim_E(V)$ over $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K$;
2. The Γ_K-action preserves $N(T)$ and is trivial on $N(T)/\pi N(T)$;
3. $\varphi(N(T)) \subset N(T)$ and $N(T)/\varphi^*(N(T))$ is killed by q^k. □

The modules $N(V)$ and $N(T)$ are called Wach modules over $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_K^+$ (respectively $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K^+$). The étale (φ, Γ_K)-module $D(V)$ (respectively $D(T)$) is obtained from $N(V)$ (respectively $N(T)$) by extension of scalars.

1.1 Wach modules of restricted representations

Let L be any finite unramified extension of K of degree n. We relate the Wach module of the effective crystalline representation V of G_K to the Wach module of V restricted to G_L. The notations V_K and V_L have the obvious meaning.

Proposition 1.2 *Let $N(V_K)$ be the Wach module of the effective crystalline representation V_K. The Wach module of V_L is*

$$N(V_L) = \left(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_L^+\right) \bigotimes_{E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_K^+} N(V_K).$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. ■

Fix once and for all an embedding $\varepsilon : L \hookrightarrow E$ and let $\tau_L^j = \varepsilon \circ \sigma_L^j$ for $j = 0, 1, ..., nf-1$, where σ_L is the absolute Frobenius of L. We fix the nf-tuple of embeddings $\mid \tau_L \mid := (\tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{nf-1})$. Let σ_K be the absolute Frobenius of K and $\varepsilon_K = \varepsilon_{|L}$.We fix the f-tuple of embeddings $\mid \tau_K \mid := (\varepsilon_K \circ \sigma_K^0, \varepsilon_K \circ \sigma_K^1, ..., \varepsilon_K \circ \sigma_K^{f-1})$.There is a ring isomorphism $\xi_K : \mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K^+ \longrightarrow \prod_{\tau : K \hookrightarrow E} \mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]$ given by $\xi_K\left(a \otimes b\right) = \left(a\tau_K^0\left(b\right), a\tau_K^1\left(b\right), ..., a\tau_K^{f-1}\left(b\right)\right)$, where the embeddings are ordered as above and $\tau_K^i\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \pi^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_K^i\left(\beta_n\right)\pi^n$ for all $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \pi^n \in \mathbb{A}_K^+$. The ring $\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]^{|\tau_K|} := \prod_{\tau : K \hookrightarrow E} \mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]$ is equipped with $\mathcal{O}_E$-linear actions of φ and Γ_K given by

$$\varphi(\alpha_0(\pi), \alpha_1(\pi), ..., \alpha_{f-1}(\pi)) = (\alpha_1(\varphi(\pi)), ..., \alpha_{f-1}(\varphi(\pi)), \alpha_0(\varphi(\pi)))$$

$$\text{and } \gamma(\alpha_0(\pi), \alpha_1(\pi), ..., \alpha_{f-1}(\pi)) = (\alpha_0(\gamma\pi), \alpha_1(\gamma\pi), ..., \alpha_{f-1}(\gamma\pi))$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. Since the restriction of σ_L to K is σ_K,we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_K^+ \arrow[r, "\xi_K"] \arrow[d, "1_{\mathcal{O}_E} \otimes i"'] & \mathcal{O}_E^{|\tau_K|}[[\pi]] \arrow[d, "\theta"] \\
\mathcal{O}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_L^+ \arrow[r, "\xi_L"] & \mathcal{O}_E^{|\tau_L|}[[\pi]]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is the natural inclusion of $\mathbb{A}_K^+$ to $\mathbb{A}_L^+$ and θ the ring homomorphism defined by

$$\theta(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{f-1}) = \underbrace{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{f-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{f-1}, ..., \alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{f-1})}_{n-\text{times}} := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{f-1})^{\otimes n}.$$

For any matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_d \left(\mathcal{O}_E^{|\tau_K|}[[\pi]]\right)$, we denote $A^{\otimes n}$ the matrix gotten by replacing each entry $\vec{\alpha}$ by $\vec{\alpha}^{\otimes n}$. The following Proposition follows immediately from the discussion above and Prop. 1.2.

Proposition 1.3 *Let V_K and V_L be as in Prop. 1.2. If the Wach module of $N(V_K)$ is defined by the actions of φ and Γ_K given by $(\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2), ..., \varphi(e_d)) = \underline{e} \cdot \Pi_K$ and $(\gamma(e_1), \gamma(e_2), ..., \gamma(e_d)) = \underline{e} \cdot G_K^{\gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ for some ordered base $\underline{e} = (e_1, e_2, ..., e_d)$, then the Wach module $N(V_L)$ of V_L is defined by $(\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2), ..., \varphi(e_d)) = \underline{e} \cdot \Pi_L$ and $(\gamma(e_1), \gamma(e_2), ..., \gamma(e_d)) = \underline{e} \cdot G_L^{\gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$, where $\Pi_L = (\Pi_K)^{\otimes n}$ and $G_L^{\gamma} = (G_K^{\gamma})^{\otimes n}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$.*

Remark 1.4 *The analogue of Proposition 1.2 for filtered φ-modules and for an arbitrary base is generally* false*.*

2 Computing reductions

For each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 2f-1\}$ let χ_{e_i} be the $E^{\times}$-valued Lubin-Tate character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}$ constructed in [3, & 2] with $k_j = 0$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 2f-1\}$ with $j \neq i-1$ (we use the convention $k_0 = k_{2f}$) and $k_{i+1} = 1$. For any $C \in \mathcal{O}_E^{\times}$, let $\eta_C : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}} \to \mathcal{O}_E^{\times}$ be the unramified character which sends $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$ to C. Recall the notations of [3, & 1.2] which will be used throughout. We will need the following elementary fact.

Lemma 2.1 *Let F be any field, G any group and H any finite index subgroup. Let V be an irreducible finite-dimensional FG-module whose restriction to H contains some FH-submodule W with $\dim_F V = [G : H] \dim_F W$. Then $V \simeq Ind_H^G(W)$.*

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity there exists some nonzero $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{FG}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(W), V)$. It is an isomorphism because V is irreducible and $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(W)$ and V have the same dimension over E. ■

Example 2.2 *Let k_i, $i = 0, 1$ be positive integers and $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$. Consider the crystalline two-dimensional E-representation $V_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}$ with labeled Hodge-Tate weights $(\{0, -k_0\}, \{0, -k_1\})$ corresponding to the weakly admissible filtered φ-modules $(D_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}, \varphi)$ with*

$$(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1, p^{k_0}) & (-1, 0) \\ (p^{k_1}, \alpha_0) & (0\ ,\ 1) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i = a_i p^m$ and

$$Fil^j(D_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}) = \begin{cases} (E \times E)\eta_1 \oplus (E \times E)\eta_2 & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ (E \times E)f_{I_0}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq w_0, \\ (E \times E)f_{I_1}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 + w_0 \leq j \leq w_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + w_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{x} = (1, 1)$ and $\vec{y} = (-\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. By [3, & 6.1], $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)} \simeq \bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{(2,5)}$ for all $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$.

Proposition 2.3 $V^{(2,5)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}} \simeq Ind^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}} \left(\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_2} \cdot \chi^{k_0}_{e_3}\right) \simeq Ind^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}} \left(\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_0} \cdot \chi^{k_0}_{e_1}\right).$

Proof. The Wach module of $V^{(2,5)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ has been constructed in [3, & 6.1] and the Wach module of the restriction to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}$ is given by Prop. 1.2. The filtered φ-module (D,φ) coresponding to the restriction of $V^{(2,5)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}$ (by a computation as in [3, & 6.1]) is

$$(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1, p^{k_0}, \alpha_1, p^{k_0}) & (-1, 0, -1, 0) \\ (p^{k_1}, \alpha_0, p^{k_1}, \alpha_0) & (0\ ,\ 1,\ 0\ ,\ 1) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i = a_i p^m$ and

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j(D) = \begin{cases} (E \times E \times E \times E)\eta_1 \oplus (E \times E \times E \times E)\eta_2 & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_0})^{\otimes 2}\,\eta_1 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq w_0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_1})^{\otimes 2}\,\eta_1 & \text{if } 1 + w_0 \leq j \leq w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + w_1, \end{cases}$$

with f_{I_j} is as in [3, & 6.1]. Notice that the restriction of $V^{(2,5)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}$ has labeled Hodge-Tate weights $(\{0,-k_0\},\{0,-k_1\},\{0,-k_0\},\{0,-k_1\})$. We change the base to have the matrix of Frobenius in the standard form of [3, & 5.2.1]. We write $[\varphi]_{\underline{\eta}} = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \times P_0$ and look for a change of base matrix $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 \times Q_3 \times Q_0$ such that $[\varphi]_{\underline{e}} := Q[\varphi]_{\underline{\eta}}\varphi(Q)^{-1}$ is diagonal. Since $[\varphi]_{\underline{\eta}}$ =diag$(-p^{k_0+k_1} \cdot \vec{1}, -p^{k_0+k_1} \cdot \vec{1})$ we proceed as in the proof of [2, Proof of Claim in Lemma 2.3]. Let $Q_1 = Q_2 = Id$, $Q_0 = Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1}p^{-\frac{k_1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} (\ 0\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 0\ ) & \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}, 0, 0, \sqrt{-1}p^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}\right) \\ \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 0, 0, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}\right) & (\ 0\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 0\ ) \end{pmatrix},$$

$(e_1, e_2) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\,Q$, $[\varphi]_{\underline{e}}$ =diag$\left(\left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, p^{k_0}, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1\right), \left(-\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1, -\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, p^{k_0}\right)\right)$ and

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j(D) = \begin{cases} (E \times E \times E \times E)e_1 \oplus (E \times E \times E \times E)e_2 & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_0})^{\otimes 2}\,(\vec{x}e_1 + \vec{y}e_2) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq w_0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_1})^{\otimes 2}\,(\vec{x}e_1 + \vec{y}e_2) & \text{if } 1 + w_0 \leq j \leq w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + w_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{x} = (0,1,1,0)$ and $\vec{y} = \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 0, 0, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}\right)$. By [3, Prop. 5.1] (D,φ) is decomposable and $D_2 := (E \times E \times E \times E)\eta_2$ with $\mathrm{Fil}^j(D_2) = D_2 \cap \mathrm{Fil}^j(D)$ is a weakly admissible summand. By a direct computation (or by the proof of Prop. 3.7 in [2]),

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j(D_2) = \begin{cases} (E \times E \times E \times E)e_2 & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_0})^{\otimes 2}\,(1,0,0,1)\,e_2 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq w_0, \\ (E \times E \times E \times E)\,(f_{I_1})^{\otimes 2}\,(1,0,0,1)\,e_2 & \text{if } 1 + w_0 \leq j \leq w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + w_1, \end{cases}$$

and by [3, Prop 2.5] the later is isomorphic to the weakly admissible filtered φ-module with $\varphi(e_2) = \left(\sqrt[4]{-1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}p^{k_1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}p^{k_0}\right) e_2$ and filtration as above. The character $\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}}$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}$ of the unramified character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which maps $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to $\sqrt[4]{-1}$, and by Prop. 1.2 the filtered φ-module corresponding to $\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}}$ is $(D(\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}}), \varphi)$ with trivial filtration and $\varphi(e) = \left(\sqrt[4]{-1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}, \sqrt[4]{-1}\right) e$. By [3, Prop 2.4], the crystalline representation corresponding to (D_2, φ) is $\chi = \eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi_{e_2}^{k_1} \cdot \chi_{e_3}^{k_0}$. Since the representation $V_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{(2,5)}$ is irreducible (c.f. [3, & 6.1]), the first isomorphism of the Proposition follows by Lemma 2.1. The other isomorphism is shown similarly. ■

Remark 2.4 *By Prop. 1.2 and [3, Prop. 2.4] one easily sees that the character* $\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi_{e_2}^{k_1} \cdot \chi_{e_3}^{k_0}$ *(and therefore* $\chi_{e_2}^{k_1} \cdot \chi_{e_3}^{k_0}$*) does not extend to* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}$*. This is also clear by Frobenius reciprocity, since* $V_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}^{(2,5)}$ *is irreducible and* χ *is an irreducible constituent of its restriction to* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}$*.*

In the followin Corollary and for the rest of the paper the characters $\omega_{l,\bar{\tau}_i}$ are as in [3, & 5.1] with $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p^l}$.

Corollary 2.5 *For any* $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$,

$$\left(\left(\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}} \simeq \left(\omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1} \cdot \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_2}^{-k_0}\right) \bigoplus \left(\omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1} \cdot \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_2}^{-k_0}\right)^{p^2}.$$

Moreover, for any $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$ *the representation* $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}$ *is irreducible if and only if* $(1+p^2)(1+p) \nmid k_1 + pk_0$.

Proof. By [3, Cor. 5.5], $\det \bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)} = \omega_{2,\bar{\tau}_0}^{-k_0} \cdot \omega_{2,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1} = \left(\omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_0}^{-k_0} \cdot \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1}\right)^{1+p^2}$. By the previous Proposition and [3, & 5.1], $\left(\left(\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}} = \left(\omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1} \cdot \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_2}^{-k_0}\right) \bigoplus \left(\left(\omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{k_1} \cdot \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_2}^{k_0}\right) \cdot \det \bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}\right)$. The Corollary follows from the formulas in [3, & 5.1]. If $\left(1+p^2\right)(1+p) \mid k_1 + pk_0$, the reduction $\left(\left(\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}}$ was computed in [3] by showing that $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}$ is reducible. Conversely, suppose that $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,5)}$ is reducible, then by [1, Prop. 2.7], there exist integers m_1, m_2 such that $\omega_2^{m_1} \oplus \omega_2^{m_2} \simeq \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1-pk_0} \oplus \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{(-k_1-pk_0)p^2}$, where ω_f is as in [1, & 2.1]. This implies that $\omega_4^{(1+p^2)m_1} \oplus \omega_4^{(1+p^2)m_2} \simeq \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{-k_1-pk_0} \oplus \omega_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}^{(-k_1-pk_0)p^2}$, therefore $(1+p^2)m_1 \equiv (-k_1 - pk_0) \bmod (p^4-1)$ and $(1+p^2)m_2 \equiv (-k_1 - pk_2)p^2 \bmod (p^4-1)$, or $(1+p^2)m_1 \equiv (-k_1 - pk_2)p^2 \bmod (p^4-1)$ and $(1+p^2)m_2 \equiv (-k_1 - pk_0) \bmod (p^4-1)$. In any case $m_1 \equiv m_2 \bmod (p^2-1)$ and we may assume that $m_1 = m_2$. Then $(-k_1 - pk_2)p^2 \equiv -k_1 - pk_0 \bmod (p^4-1)$ and $\left(1+p^2\right)(1+p) \mid k_1 + pk_0$. ■

Example 2.6 *Let* k_i, $i = 0, 1$ *be positive integers and* $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$*. Consider the crystalline two-dimensional E-representation* $V_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,8)}$ *of* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}$ *with labeled Hodge-Tate corresponding to the weakly admissible filtered* φ*-modules* $(D_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{(2,8)}, \varphi)$ *defined by*

$$(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_1\ ,\ 1) & (-1, 0) \\ (p^{k_1}, \alpha_0) & (0, p^{k_0}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i = a_i p^m$ *and*

$$\mathit{Fil}^j(D^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}) = \begin{cases} (E\times E)\eta_1 \oplus (E\times E)\eta_2 & \text{if } j \le 0, \\ (E\times E) f_{I_0}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 \le j \le w_0, \\ (E\times E) f_{I_1}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1+w_0 \le j \le w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \ge 1+w_1, \end{cases}$$

with $\vec{x} = (0,1)$ *and* $\vec{y} = (1,\alpha_1)$. *In [3, & 6.2] we proved that* $\bar{V}^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}} \simeq \bar{V}^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ *for all* $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$.

Proposition 2.7 $V^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}} \simeq \mathit{Ind}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}}\left(\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi^{k_0}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_2}\right) \simeq \mathit{Ind}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^4}}}\left(\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_0} \cdot \chi^{k_0}_{e_3}\right)$.

Proof. We proceed as in the previous Example, preserving the notation. Here,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} (\ 0\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 0\ ) & \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}, 0, 0, \sqrt{-1}p^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}\right) \\ \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 0, 0, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}\right) & (\ 0\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 1\ ,\ 0\ ) \end{pmatrix},$$

$(e_1, e_2) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\, Q$, $[\varphi]_{\underline{e}} = \mathrm{diag}\Big(\left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, p^{k_0}\right), \left(-\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, p^{k_0}, -\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1\right)\Big)$ and

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j(D) = \begin{cases} (E\times E\times E\times E)e_1 \oplus (E\times E\times E\times E)e_2 & \text{if } j \le 0, \\ (E\times E\times E\times E)\left(f_{I_0}\right)^{\otimes 2}(\vec{x}e_1 + \vec{y}e_2) & \text{if } 1 \le j \le w_0, \\ (E\times E\times E\times E)\left(f_{I_1}\right)^{\otimes 2}(\vec{x}e_1 + \vec{y}e_2) & \text{if } 1+w_0 \le j \le w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \ge 1+w_1, \end{cases}$$

with $\vec{x} = \left(\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1, 0, 0\right)$ and $\vec{y} = \left(0, 0, 1, \sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}\right)$. By Prop. 5.1 in [3], (D,φ) is decomposable and $D_2 := (E\times E\times E\times E)\eta_2$ with $\varphi(e_2) = \left(-\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, p^{k_0}, -\sqrt{-1}p^{\frac{k_1}{2}}, 1\right)e_2$ and $\mathrm{Fil}^j(D_2) = D_2 \cap \mathrm{Fil}^j(D)$ is a weakly admissible summand. By a direct computation (or by the proof of Prop. 3.7 in [2]),

$$\mathrm{Fil}^j(D_2) = \begin{cases} (E\times E\times E\times E)e_2 & \text{if } j \le 0, \\ (E\times E\times E\times E)\left(f_{I_0}\right)^{\otimes 2}(1,0,0,1)\,e_2 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le w_0, \\ (E\times E\times E\times E)\left(f_{I_1}\right)^{\otimes 2}(1,0,0,1)\,e_2 & \text{if } 1+w_0 \le j \le w_1, \\ \quad 0 & \text{if } j \ge 1+w_1, \end{cases}$$

The corresponding crystalline representation is $\eta_{\sqrt[4]{-1}} \cdot \chi^{k_0}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_2}$. Since the representation $V^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ is irreducible (c.f. [3, & 6.2]), the Proposition follows by Lemma 2.1. Notice that the character $\chi^{k_0}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{k_1}_{e_2}$ does not extend to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}$. ■

Corollary 2.8 *For any* $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$,

$$\left(\left(\bar{V}^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|I_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}} \simeq \left(\omega^{-k_0}_{4,\bar{\tau}_0} \cdot \omega^{-k_1}_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}\right) \bigoplus \left(\omega^{-k_0}_{4,\bar{\tau}_0} \cdot \omega^{-k_1}_{4,\bar{\tau}_1}\right)^{p^2}.$$

Moreover, for any $\vec{a} \in m_E^2$ *the representation* $\bar{V}^{(2,8)}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}$ *is irreducible if and only if* $(1+p^2)(1+p) \nmid k_0 + pk_1$.

Proof. Identical to that of Cor. 2.5. Notice that in [3, Prop. 6.4] there is a sign error; one should replace $-pk_0 + p^2k_1$ by $-pk_0 - p^2k_1$. ■

Example 2.9 *Let* $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p^f}$ *and let* $k_0, k_1, ..., k_{f-1}$ *be* positive *integers with* $k = \max\{k_0,k_1,..., k_{f-1}\}$. *Consider the following four types of matrices* $P_i(X_i)$

$$(I)\text{:} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p^{k_i} & X_ip^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (II)\text{:} \begin{pmatrix} X_ip^m & -1 \\ p^{k_i} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (III)\text{:} \begin{pmatrix} X_ip^m & p^{k_i} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (IV)\text{:} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p^{k_i} \\ -1 & X_ip^m \end{pmatrix},$$

where X_i *are polynomial variables and* $m = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{p-1} \rfloor$. *Let* $\vec{a} = (a_1, ..., a_{f-1}, a_0) \in m_E^f$. *Consider the families of matrices of* $GL_2(\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)$ *obtained from the matrices* $P^{\vec{\imath}}(\vec{X}) = P_1(X_1) \times P_2(X_2) \times \cdots \times P_{f-1}(X_{f-1}) \times P_0(X_0)$ *by substituting* $X_j = a_j$ *in* $P_j(X_j)$. *The exponents* $\vec{\imath} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_{f-1}, i_0)$ *are vectors in* $\{1,2,3,4\}^f$ *with* i_j *being the type of the matrix* $P_j(X_j)$ *for all* $j \in I_0$. *The filtered* φ*-modules* $D^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}} = (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)\eta_1 \oplus (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)\eta_2$ *with Frobenius endomorphisms defined by* $(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)P^{\vec{\imath}}(\vec{\alpha})$ *and filtrations*

$$Fil^j(D^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}) = \begin{cases} (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)\eta_1 \oplus (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)\eta_2 & \text{if } j \leq 0, \\ (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq w_0, \\ (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)f_{I_1}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 + w_0 \leq j \leq w_1, \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots & \\ (\prod\limits_{\tau:K\hookrightarrow E} E)f_{I_{t-1}}(\vec{x}\eta_1 + \vec{y}\eta_2) & \text{if } 1 + w_{t-2} \leq j \leq w_{t-1}, \\ \qquad\qquad 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 + w_{t-1}, \end{cases}$$

with $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_{f-1})$ *and* $\vec{y} = (y_0, y_1, ..., y_{f-1})$ *and*

$$(x_t, y_t) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } i_t = 1, \\ (\alpha_t p^m, 1) & \text{if } i_t = 2, \\ (0,1) & \text{if } i_t = 3, \\ (1, \alpha_t p^m) & \text{if } i_t = 4 \end{cases}$$

are weakly admissible (c.f. [3, & 4.2]), and correspond to two-dimensional E-linear crystalline representations $V^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{w},\vec{\alpha}}$ of G_K. For $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ even the reductions $\bar{V}^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{w},\vec{\alpha}}$ have been computed in [3, Cor. 5.10, Prop 5.15 and Thm 5.6]. There it was also shown that $\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}} \simeq \bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ for any $\vec{\imath} \in \{1,2,3,4\}^f$ and $\vec{a} \in m_E^f$. We compute $\bar{V}^{\vec{\imath}}_{\vec{w},\vec{\alpha}}$ for $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ odd. We write $P_j(X_j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_j \\ \gamma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\{\beta_j, \gamma_j\} = \{-1, p^{k_j}\}$ for all j. Let

$$\ell_j = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } j \text{ is odd and } \ \beta_j = -1, \\ k_i \text{ if } j \text{ is odd and } \ \beta_j = p^{k_j}, \\ 0 \text{ if } j \text{ is even and } \gamma_j = -1, \\ k_i \text{ if } j \text{ is even and } \gamma_j = p^{k_j}, \end{cases}$$

$t = \#\{j : \ell_j = 0\}$ and $s_j = k_j - \ell_j$. In the following Proposition χ_{e_i} are the $E^\times$-valued Lubin-Tate characters of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}$ defined in the beginning of the Section.

Proposition 2.10 *If f is odd,* $V^{\vec{\iota}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}} \simeq Ind^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}} \left(\eta_{\sqrt[2f]{(-1)^t}} \cdot \chi^{\ell_1}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{\ell_2}_{e_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \chi^{\ell_{2f-1}}_{e_{2f-1}} \cdot \chi^{\ell_0}_{e_0}\right) \simeq$
$Ind^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}} \left(\eta_{\sqrt[2f]{(-1)^t}} \cdot \chi^{s_1}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{s_2}_{e_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \chi^{s_{2f-1}}_{e_{2f-1}} \cdot \chi^{s_0}_{e_0}\right).$

Proof. By the construction of the Wach modules of the representations $V^{\vec{\iota}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ (c.f.[3, & 4.1]), there exists a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_E$-lattice $T_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}}$ in $V^{\vec{\iota}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ with Wach module whise φ-action is defined by $(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\,\Pi$, with $\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} (\;0\;,\;0\;\;,\;.\;.\;.\;,\;0\;) & (b_1, b_2, ..., b_{f-1}, b_0) \\ (c_1, c_2, ..., c_{f-1}, c_0) & (\;0\;,\;0\;\;,\;.\;.\;.\;,\;0\;) \end{pmatrix}$,where $b_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \beta_i = -1, \\ q^{k_i} & \text{if } \beta_i = p^{k_i} \end{cases}$ and $c_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \gamma_i = -1, \\ q^{k_i} & \text{if } \gamma_i = p^{k_i}. \end{cases}$ The lattice $T_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}}$ has Wach module whose φ-action is defined by $(\varphi(\eta_1), \varphi(\eta_2)) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)\,\Pi^{\otimes 2}$. We base-change by the matrix $Q = Q_0 \times Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_{f-1}$, where $Q_i = Id$ for even i and $Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for odd i and the matrix of φ with respect to the base $(e_1, e_2) := (\eta_1, \eta_2)\,Q$ is $[\varphi]_{\underline{e}}$ =diag$((b_1, c_2, b_3, ..., b_{2f-1}, c_0)\,, (c_1, b_2, c_3, ..., c_{2f-1}, b_0))$ and see that the rank-one Wach module with Frobenious endomorphism defined by $\varphi(e) = (b_1, c_2, b_3, ..., b_{2f-1}, c_0)\,e$ is its Wach submodule. The corresponding crystalline representation $\chi = \eta_{\sqrt[2f]{(-1)^t}} \cdot \chi^{\ell_1}_{e_1} \cdot \chi^{\ell_2}_{e_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot \chi^{\ell_{2f-1}}_{e_{2f-1}} \cdot \chi^{\ell_0}_{e_0}$ is a subrepresentation of the restriction of $V^{\vec{\iota}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2f}}}$. The Proposition follows by Lemma 2.1 since $V^{\vec{\iota}}_{\vec{w},\vec{0}}$ is irreducible (c.f. [3, Prop. 5.12]). ■

Corollary 2.11 *If f is odd,*

$$\left(\left(\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}} \simeq \left(\omega^{-\ell_1}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_2} \cdot \omega^{-\ell_2}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_3} \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega^{-\ell_{2f-1}}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_0} \cdot \omega^{-\ell_0}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_1}\right) \bigoplus \left(\omega^{-\ell_1}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_2} \cdot \omega^{-\ell_2}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_3} \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega^{-\ell_{2f-1}}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_0} \cdot \omega^{-\ell_0}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_1}\right)^{p^f},$$

for any $\vec{a} \in m^f_E$ and any $\vec{i}$. Moreover, for any $\vec{a} \in m^f_E$ the representation $\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}$ is irreducible if and only if $\ell_{2f-1} + p\ell_0 + p^2\ell_1 + \cdots + p^{2f}\ell_{2f-1} \not\equiv 0 \bmod (p^f+1)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Prop. 2.5,

$$\left(\left(\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}\right)^{ss}\right)_{|\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2f} \omega^{-\ell_i}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_{i+1}}\right) \bigoplus \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2f} \omega^{\ell_i}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_{i+1}}\right) \cdot \det\left(\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}\right)\right),$$

with indices viewed modulo $2f$. Since $(\omega_{2f,\bar{\tau}_i})^{1+p^f} = \omega_{f,\bar{\tau}_i}$ for all $i = 0, 1, ..., f-1$,

$$\bigstar \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2f} \omega^{\ell_i}_{2f,\bar{\tau}_{i+1}}\right) \cdot \det\left(\bar{V}^{\vec{i}}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}\right) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even} \\ \text{and } \beta_i = p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1} \omega^{k_i}_{2f,i} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd} \\ \text{and } \gamma_i = p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1} \omega^{k_i}_{2f,i} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=0}^{f-1} \omega^{-k_i}_{2f,i}\right)^{1+p^f}.$$

By the definition of the ℓ_i, and given that $\{\beta_i, \gamma_i\} = \{-1, p^{k_i}\}$ for all i,

$$\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even} \\ \text{and } \beta_i = p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1} \omega^{k_i}_{2f,i} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd} \\ \text{and } \gamma_i = p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1} \omega^{k_i}_{2f,i} = \prod_{i=0}^{2f-1} \omega^{k_i}_{2f,i},$$

and the product ★ equals

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{2f-1}\omega_{2f,i}^{-k_i}\right)^{p^f}=\left(\prod_{\substack{i=0\\ i\text{ even}\\ \text{and }\beta_i=p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1}\omega_{2f,i}^{-k_i}\cdot\prod_{\substack{i=0\\ i\text{ odd}\\ \text{and }\gamma_i=p^{k_i}}}^{2f-1}\omega_{2f,i}^{-k_i}\right)^{p^f}=\left(\prod_{i=0}^{2f-1}\omega_{2f,i}^{-\ell_i}\right)^{p^f}.$$

The irreducibility statement is proved exactly as in Cor. 2.5, where the reducibility of $\bar{V}_{\vec{w},\vec{a}}^{\vec{i}}$ when $\ell_{2f-1}+p\ell_0+p^2\ell_1+\cdots+p^{2f}\ell_{2f-1}\equiv 0 \bmod (p^f+1)$ is known by the proof of [3, Thm. 5.13]. ■

References

[1] Breuil, C., Representations of Galois and of GL(2) in characteristic p. Cours à l'université de Columbia, automne 2007. `http://www.ihes.fr/~breuil/PUBLICATIONS/New-York.pdf`

[2] Dousmanis, G., Rank two filtered (φ, N)-modules with Galois descent data and coefficients. arXiv:0711.2137v2

[3] Dousmanis, G., On reductions of families of crystalline Galois representations. arXiv:0805.1634v3